Office of Regulatory Management

Economic Review Form

| Agency name | VA DOC – Board of Local and Regional Jails |
|--|--|
| Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s) | 6 VAC 15-26 |
| VAC Chapter title(s) | Regulations for Human Subject Research |
| Action title | Repeal – Fast Track |
| Date this document prepared | 09/20/2022 |

Cost Benefit Analysis

Table 1a must be completed for all actions. Tables 1b and 1c must be completed for actions (or portions thereof) where the agency is exercising discretion, including those where some of the changes are mandated by state or federal law or regulation. Tables 1b and 1c are not needed if <u>all</u> changes are mandated, and the agency is not exercising any discretion. In that case, enter a statement to that effect.

- (1) Direct Costs & Benefits: Identify all specific, direct economic impacts (costs and/or benefits), anticipated to result from the regulatory change. (A direct impact is one that affects entities regulated by the agency and which directly results from the regulatory change itself, without any intervening steps or effects. For example, the direct impact of a regulatory fee change is the change in costs for these regulated entities.) When describing a particular economic impact, specify which new requirement or change in requirement creates the anticipated economic impact. Keep in mind that this is the proposed change versus the status quo. One bullet has been provided, add additional bullets as needed.
- (2) Ouantitative Factors:
 - (a) Enter estimated dollar value of total (overall) direct costs described above.
 - (b) Enter estimated dollar value of total (overall) direct benefits described above.
 - (c) Enter the present value of the direct costs based on the worksheet.
 - (d) Enter the present value of the direct benefits based on the worksheet.
- (3) Benefits-Costs Ratio: Calculate d divided by c OR enter it from the worksheet.
- (4) Net Benefit: Calculate d minus c OR enter it from the worksheet.
- (5) Indirect Costs & Benefits: Identify all specific, indirect economic impacts (costs and/or benefits), anticipated to result from the regulatory change. (An indirect impact is one that results from responses to the regulatory change, but which are not directly required by the regulation. Indirect impacts of a regulatory fee change on regulated entities could include a change in the prices they charge, changes in their operating procedures or employment levels, or decisions to enter or exit the regulated profession or market. Indirect impacts also include responses by other entities that have close economic ties to the regulated entities, such as suppliers or partners.) If there are no indirect costs or benefits, include a specific statement to that effect.

- (6) Information Sources: Describe the sources of information used to determine the benefits and costs, including the source of the Quantitative Factors. If dollar amounts are not available, indicate why they are not.
- (7) Optional: Use this space to add any further information regarding the data provided in this table, including calculations, qualitative assessments, etc.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

| (1) Direct Costs & Benefits | Describe first proposed impactful change here. This action is to repeal human subject research regulations for which the Board of Local and Regional Jails no longer has statutory authority. Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here. No costs will result from this proposed change. Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. The only benefit is qualitative – the regulations will be under the proper authority. No dollar value is assigned to the benefit. | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------|--|--|
| (2) Quantitative Factors | Estimated Dollar Amount Present Value | | | |
| Direct Costs | (a) 0 | (c) 0 | | |
| Direct Benefits | (b) 0 (d) 0 | | | |
| (3) Benefits- Costs Ratio | 0 (4) Net 0 Benefit | | | |
| (5) Indirect Costs & Benefits | 0 | | | |
| (6) Information Sources | No information sources provided as this is a repeal action. | | | |
| (7) Optional | N/A | | | |

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

This table addresses current requirements and the implications of not making any changes. In other words, describe the costs and benefits of maintaining the current regulatory requirements as is.

| (1) Direct Costs & Benefits | • Describe the current requirement associated with the first proposed impactful change described in Table 1a here. The current requirement is for research entities, such as colleges and universities, to follow regulations for design and submission of proposed research projects that would involve state responsible offenders. These regulations were formerly under the authority of the State Board of Corrections. In the 2020 Acts of Assembly, the State Board of Corrections was renamed the State Board of Local and Regional Jails and was stripped of its authority over state-operated correctional facilities and community corrections entities. The authority was transferred to the Director of the Department of Corrections (see Code of Virginia, §53.1-10 subsection 14). Direct Costs: Describe the direct cost of maintaining the current requirement 0 Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of maintaining the current requirement | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------|--|--|
| (2) Quantitative Factors | Estimated Dollar Amount | Present Value | | |
| Direct Costs | (a) 0 | (c) 0 | | |
| Birect costs | | | | |
| Direct Benefits | (b) 0 (d) 0 | | | |
| (3) Benefits- | 0 (4) Net | | | |
| Costs Ratio | Benefit 0 | | | |
| (5) Indirect Costs & Benefits | 0 | | | |
| (6) Information Sources | N/A | | | |

| (7) Optional | N/A |
|--------------|-----|
| | |

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under an Alternative Approach

This table addresses an alternative approach to accomplishing the objectives with different requirements. These alternative approaches may include the use of reasonably available alternatives in lieu of regulation, or information disclosure requirements or performance standards instead of regulatory mandates.

| (1) Direct Costs & Benefits | No alternate approach Regional Jails lacks the regulations. Direct Costs: Describe 0 | No alternate approach is appropriate, as the Board of Local and Regional Jails lacks the statutory authority to promulgate these regulations. Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here. Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| (2) (2) | | | | |
| (2) Quantitative | | | | |
| Factors | Estimated Dollar Amount | Present Value | | |
| Direct Costs | (a) 0 | (c) 0 | | |
| | | | | |
| Direct Benefits | (b) 0 | (d) 0 | | |
| | | | | |
| (3) Benefits- | 0 | (4) Net | | |
| Costs Ratio | | Benefit | 0 | |
| | | | | |
| (5) Indirect | 0 | | | |
| Costs & | | | | |
| Benefits | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (6) Information | N/A | | | |
| Sources | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (7) Oution 1 | NT/A | | | |
| (7) Optional | N/A | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | |

Impact on Local Partners

- (1) Describe the direct costs and benefits (as defined on page 1) for local partners in terms of real monetary costs and FTEs. Local partners include local or tribal governments, school divisions, or other local or regional authorities, boards, or commissions. If local partners are not affected, include a specific statement to that effect and a brief explanation of the rationale.
- (2) Quantitative Factors:
 - (a) Enter estimated dollar value of total (overall) direct costs described above.
 - (b) Enter estimated dollar value of total (overall) direct benefits described above.
- (3) Indirect Costs & Benefits: Describe any indirect benefits and costs (as defined on page 1) for local partners that are associated with all significant changes. If there are no indirect costs or benefits, include a specific statement to that effect.
- (4) Information Sources: describe the sources of information used to determine the benefits and costs, including the source of the Quantitative Factors. If dollar amounts are not available, indicate why they are not.
- (5) Assistance: Identify the amount and source of assistance provided for compliance in both funding and training or other technical implementation assistance.
- (6) Optional: Use this space to add any further information regarding the data provided in this table, including calculations, qualitative assessments, etc.

Note: If any of the above information was included in Table 1, use the same information here.

Table 2: Impact on Local Partners

| (1) Direct Costs & Benefits | No impact on local partners is expected. As the Board is administratively housed under the Department of Corrections, there will be no change in the process for submitting research proposals. The regulations themselves will simply be moved to the proper agency heading in Virginia Administrative Code. | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| (2) Quantitative | | | |
| Factors | Estimated Dollar Amount | | |
| Direct Costs | (a) 0 | | |
| Direct Benefits | (b) 0 | | |
| (3) Indirect Costs & Benefits | 0 | | |
| (4) Information Sources | 0 | | |

| (5) Assistance | 0 |
|----------------|---|
| | |
| | |
| (6) Optional | 0 |
| (o) optional | |
| | |
| | |

Economic Impacts on Families

- (1) Describe the direct costs and benefits (as defined on page 1) to a typical family of three (average family size in Virginia according to the U. S. Census) arising from any proposed regulatory changes that would affect the costs of food, energy, housing, transportation, healthcare, and education. If families are not affected, include a specific statement to that effect and a brief explanation of the rationale.
- (2) Quantitative Factors:
 - (a) Enter estimated dollar value of direct costs.
 - (b) Enter estimated dollar value of direct benefits.
- (3) Indirect Costs & Benefits: Describe any indirect costs and benefits (as defined on page 1) to a typical family of three that are most likely to result from the proposed changes.
- (4) Information Sources: describe the sources of information used to determine the benefits and costs, including the source of the Quantitative Factors. If dollar amounts are not available, indicate why not.
- (5) Optional: Use this space to add any further information regarding the data provided in this table, including calculations, qualitative assessments, etc.

Note: If any of the above information was included in Table 1, use the same information here.

Table 3: Impact on Families

| (1) Direct Costs & Benefits | No impact on families is expected. |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | |
| (2) Quantitative | |
| Factors | Estimated Dollar Amount |
| Direct Costs | (a) 0 |
| | |
| | |
| Direct Benefits | (b) 0 |
| | |
| | |
| (3) Indirect | 0 |
| Costs & | |
| | |
| Benefits | |

| (4) Information Sources | N/A |
|-------------------------|-----|
| (5) Optional | N/A |

Impacts on Small Businesses

- (1) Describe the direct costs and benefits (as defined on page 1) for small businesses. For purposes of this analysis, "small business" means the same as that term is defined in § 2.2-4007.1. If small businesses are not affected, include a specific statement to that effect and a brief explanation of the rationale.
- (2) Quantitative Factors:
 - (a) Enter estimated dollar value of direct costs.
 - (b) Enter estimated dollar value of direct benefits.
- (3) Indirect Costs & Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits and costs (as defined on page 1) for small businesses that are most likely to result from the proposed changes.
- (4) Alternatives: Add a qualitative discussion of any equally effective alternatives that would make the regulatory burden on small business more equitable compared to other affected business sectors, and how those alternatives were identified.
- (5) Information Sources: describe the sources of information used to determine the benefits and costs, including the source of the Quantitative Factors. If dollar amounts are not available, indicate why not.
- (6) Optional: Use this space to add any further information regarding the data provided in this table, including calculations, qualitative assessments, etc.

Note: If any of the above information was included in Table 1, use the same information here.

Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

| (1) Direct Costs & Benefits | No impact on small businesses is expected. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | |
| (2) Quantitative | |
| Factors | Estimated Dollar Amount |
| Direct Costs | (a) 0 |
| | |
| Direct Benefits | (b) 0 |
| | |
| (3) Indirect | 0 |
| Costs & | |
| Benefits | |

| (4) Alternatives | N/A |
|----------------------------|-----|
| (5) Information Sources | N/A |
| (6) Optional | N/A |

Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements

For each individual VAC Chapter amended, repealed, or promulgated by this regulatory action, list (a) the initial requirement count, (b) the count of requirements that this regulatory package is adding, (c) the count of requirements that this regulatory package is reducing, (d) the net change in the number of requirements. This count should be based upon the text as written when this stage was presented for executive branch review. Five rows have been provided, add or delete rows as needed.

Table 5: Total Number of Requirements

| | Number of Requirements | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| Chapter number | Initial Count | Additions | Subtractions | Net Change |
| | | | | |
| 6VAC15-26 | 82 | 0 | 0 | -82 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |